



Academic Journal of Applied Mathematical Sciences

ISSN(e): 2415-2188, ISSN(p): 2415-5225

Vol. 2, No. 9, pp: 135-139, 2016

URL: <http://arpgweb.com/?ic=journal&journal=17&info=aims>

Interval-Valued Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Strong β -Filters On β -Algebras

D. Ramesh*

Department of Science and Humanities, MVR College of Engineering and Technology, Paritala-521180, Krishna Dist. Andhra Pradesh, India

B. Satyanarayana

Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract: In 2002, J. Neggers and H. S. Kim introduced the notion of β -algebra. The theory of fuzzy sets proposed by L. A. Zadeh in 1965 is generalized in 1986 by K. T. Atanassov an intuitionistic fuzzy sets. The notion of β -filters was introduced by Henri Cartan in 1937. In 1991, C.S. Hoo introduced the concept of the β -filters in BCI-algebras and Satyanarayana, *et al.* [2], introduced interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in BF-algebras. In this paper, we define the notion of an Interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filters on β -algebra and investigate some of their properties.

Keywords: β -algebras; β -filters; L-fuzzy strong β -filters; interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets; Interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filters on β -algebras.

1. Introduction

In 2002, Neggers and Kim [1], introduced the notion of β -algebra. The theory of fuzzy sets proposed by Zadeh [3] in 1965 is generalized in 1986 by Atanassov [4] an Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Then many researchers have been engaged in extending the concepts and results of abstract algebra. The notion of β -filters was introduced by Henri Cartan in 1937. In Hoo [5] introduced the concept of the β -filters in BCI-algebras. The notion of interval-valued fuzzy set was first introduced by Zadeh [6] as an extension of fuzzy sets. In K. Sujatha and others [7], [8], [9] introduced the notions of Fuzzy β -filters and Intuitionistic Fuzzy β -filters in β -algebras. In this paper, we discuss the concept of interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -Filter on β -algebras.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic definitions that are required in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 A β -algebra is a non-empty set X with constant 0 and two binary operations $+$ and $-$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (1) $x - 0 = x$
- (2) $(0 - x) + x = 0$
- (3) $(x - y) - z = x - (z + y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$

Definition 2.2 Let X and Y be two β -algebras. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a β -homomorphism, if $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.3 Let X be a β -algebra and A a β -subalgebra. A is said to be β -filter on X , if for all $x, y \in X$ $x\Delta y = x + (x + y)$ and $x\nabla y = x - (x - y) \in A$

Definition 2.4 Let X be a β -algebra and A be an intuitionistic fuzzy β -subalgebra. A is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy β -filter on X , if it satisfies for all $x, y \in X$.

- (1) $\mu_A(x\Delta y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(x + y)\}$ and $\lambda_A(x\Delta y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(x + y)\}$

- (2) $\mu_A(x \nabla y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(x - y)\}$ and $\lambda_A(x \nabla y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(x - y)\}$
- (3) $\mu_A(y) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(y) \leq \lambda_A(x)$ if $x \leq y$

Definition 2.5 Let X be a β -algebra and A be an intuitionistic fuzzy β -subalgebra. A is said to be intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filter on X , if it satisfies for all $x, y \in X$.

- (1) $\mu_A(x \Delta y) \geq \{\mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(x + y)\}$ and $\lambda_A(x \Delta y) \leq \{\lambda_A(x) \vee \lambda_A(x + y)\}$
- (2) $\mu_A(x \nabla y) \geq \{\mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(x - y)\}$ and $\lambda_A(x \nabla y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x) \vee \lambda_A(x - y)\}$
- (3) $\mu_A(y) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(y) \leq \lambda_A(x)$ if $x \leq y$.

Definition 2.6 Let X be a β -algebra and A be an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -subalgebra. A is said to be an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter on X , if it satisfies for all $x, y \in X$.

- (1) $\mu_A(x \Delta y) = \mu_A(x \nabla y)$
- (2) $\lambda_A(x \Delta y) = \lambda_A(x \nabla y)$
- (3) $\mu_A(y) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(y) \leq \lambda_A(x)$ if $x \leq y$.

By interval number D we mean an interval $[a^-, a^+]$ where $0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1$. For interval numbers $D_1 = [a_1^-, b_1^+]$, $D_2 = [a_2^-, b_2^+]$.

We define

- $\min(D_1, D_2) = D_1 \cap D_2 = \min([a_1^-, b_1^+], [a_2^-, b_2^+])$
 $= [\min\{a_1^-, a_2^-\}, \min\{b_1^+, b_2^+\}]$
- $\max(D_1, D_2) = D_1 \cup D_2 = \max([a_1^-, b_1^+], [a_2^-, b_2^+])$
 $= [\max\{a_1^-, a_2^-\}, \max\{b_1^+, b_2^+\}]$

and put

- $D_1 \leq D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1^- \leq a_2^-$ and $b_1^+ \leq b_2^+$
- $D_1 = D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1^- = a_2^-$ and $b_1^+ = b_2^+$,
- $D_1 < D_2 \Leftrightarrow D_1 \leq D_2$ and $D_1 \neq D_2$
- $mD = m[a_1^-, b_1^+] = [ma_1^-, mb_1^+]$, where $0 \leq m \leq 1$.

It is obvious that $(D[0,1], \leq, \vee, \wedge)$ is a complete lattice with $[0, 0]$ as its least element and $[1, 1]$ as its greatest element. We now use $D[0,1]$ to denote the set of all closed sub intervals of the interval $[0, 1]$.

For interval numbers $D_1 = [a_1^-, b_1^+]$, $D_2 = [a_2^-, b_2^+] \in D[0,1]$ we define

- $D_1 + D_2 = [a_1^- + a_2^- - a_1^-.a_2^-, b_1^+ + b_2^+ - b_1^+.b_2^+]$.

Let L be a given nonempty set. An interval-valued fuzzy set B on L is defined by $B = \{(x, [\mu_B^-(x), \mu_B^+(x)] : x \in L)\}$, Where $\mu_B^-(x)$ and $\mu_B^+(x)$ are fuzzy sets of L such that $\mu_B^-(x) \leq \mu_B^+(x)$ for all $x \in L$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_B(x) = [\mu_B^-(x), \mu_B^+(x)]$, then $B = \{(x, \tilde{\mu}_B(x)) : x \in L\}$ Where $\tilde{\mu}_B : L \rightarrow D[0,1]$

3. Interval-Valued Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Strong β -Filter

In this section, we introduce the notion of interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter on a β -algebra. But we begin with the definition and example of Interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filter on a β -algebra.

Definition 3.1 Let X be a β -algebra and A be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy β -subalgebra. A is said to be interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy β -filter on X , if it satisfies for all $x, y \in X$.

- (1) $\tilde{\mu}_A(x\Delta y) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(x+y)\}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A(x\Delta y) \leq \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_A(x), \tilde{\lambda}_A(x+y)\}$
- (2) $\tilde{\mu}_A(x\nabla y) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(x-y)\}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A(x\nabla y) \leq \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_A(x), \tilde{\lambda}_A(x-y)\}$
- (3) $\tilde{\mu}_A(y) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A(y) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_A(x)$ if $x \leq y$.

Definition 3.2 Let X be a β -algebra and A be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy β -subalgebra. A is said to be interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filter on X , if it satisfies for all $x, y \in X$.

- (1) $\tilde{\mu}_A(x\Delta y) \geq \{\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \wedge \tilde{\mu}_A(x+y)\}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A(x\Delta y) \leq \{\tilde{\lambda}_A(x) \vee \tilde{\lambda}_A(x+y)\}$
- (2) $\tilde{\mu}_A(x\nabla y) \geq \{\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \wedge \tilde{\mu}_A(x-y)\}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A(x\nabla y) \leq \{\tilde{\lambda}_A(x) \vee \tilde{\lambda}_A(x-y)\}$
- (3) $\tilde{\mu}_A(y) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A(y) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_A(x)$ if $x \leq y$.

Example 3.3 Let $X = \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a β -algebra with constant 0 and two binary operation $+$ and $-$ defined on X with the Cayley's table. Let $[0, 0] \leq \tilde{t}_1 \leq \tilde{t}_2 \leq [1, 1]$, where $t_1 \leq t_2 \in L$.

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	1	0
2	1	2	2	3
3	0	1	3	3

-	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3

$A = \{2,3\}$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -subalgebra, defined by,

$$\tilde{\mu}_A = \begin{cases} \tilde{t}_1, & \text{if } x = 2 \\ \tilde{0}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \tilde{\lambda}_A = \begin{cases} \tilde{t}_2, & \text{if } x = 2 \\ \tilde{0}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

One can observe that A is not an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter on X .

Definition 3.4 Let X be a β -algebra and A be an interval-valued Intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -subalgebra. A is said to be an interval-valued Intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter on X , if it satisfies for all $x, y \in X$.

- (1) $\tilde{\mu}_A(x\Delta y) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x\nabla y)$
- (2) $\tilde{\lambda}_A(x\Delta y) = \tilde{\lambda}_A(x\nabla y)$
- (3) $\tilde{\mu}_A(y) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A(y) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_A(x)$ if $x \leq y$.

Example 3.5 Let $X = \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a β -algebra with constant 0 and two binary operations $+$ and $-$ defined on X with the Cayley's table. Let $[0,0] \leq \tilde{t}_1 \leq \tilde{t}_2 \leq [1,1]$.

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	1	3
2	0	3	2	2
3	3	1	3	3

-	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3

$A = \{2,3\}$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -subalgebra, defined by the membership function and non membership function:

$$\tilde{\mu}_A = \begin{cases} \tilde{0}, & \text{if } x = 2 \\ \tilde{t}_1, & \text{if } x = 3 \end{cases} \text{ and } \tilde{\lambda}_A = \begin{cases} \tilde{1}, & \text{if } x = 2 \\ \tilde{t}_2, & \text{if } x = 3 \end{cases}$$

Then A is an interval-valued L-fuzzy β -filter on X .

Theorem 3.6 Every interval-valued L-fuzzy strong β -filter is also an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -subalgebra.

Proof: Directly follows from our definition of interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter.

The following example shows that the converse part of the above theorem need not be true.

Example 3.7 Using the example 3. 3, A is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -subalgebra but an intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter on X .

$$\text{Since } \tilde{\mu}_A(2\Delta 3) \neq \tilde{\mu}_A(2\nabla 3) \Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_A(3) \neq \tilde{\mu}_A(2) \Rightarrow \tilde{0} \neq \tilde{t}_1$$

Theorem 3.8 Every interval-valued L-fuzzy strong β -filter is also an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filter.

Proof: Obvious

Remark 3.9 The converse part of the above theorem need not be true, as seen in example 3.3 which is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filter but not an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter.

Lemma 3.10 Intersection of any two interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filters is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter.

Theorem 3.11 If A is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filter on X , then $\tilde{\mu}_A(x\Delta y) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_A(x\nabla y) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_A(y)$, where $x \geq y$.

Proof: Since A is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filter of X ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_A(x\Delta y) &\geq \{\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \wedge \tilde{\mu}_A(x+y)\} \\ &= \{\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \wedge \{\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \wedge \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}\}, \text{ using the theorem 3.6} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_A(x\Delta y) &= \{\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \wedge \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} \quad \text{Since } x \leq y \Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_A(x\nabla y) &\leq \{\tilde{\lambda}_A(x) \vee \tilde{\lambda}_A(y)\} \quad \text{Since } x \leq y \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_A(y) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_A(x) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}_A(x) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.12 Let f be an onto β -homomorphism from X to Y . If B is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter of Y , then $f^{-1}(B)$ is also an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter on X .

Proof: Let B is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy strong β -filter of Y , $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B(x\Delta y)) &= f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B(x+(x+y))) \\ &\geq \{\tilde{\mu}_B(f(x)) \wedge \tilde{\mu}_B(f(x+y))\} \\ &= f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B(x)) \wedge f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B(x+y)) \end{aligned}$$

Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \geq y$. Since B is an Interval-valued L-fuzzy strong β -filter, we have $f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B(y)) = \tilde{\mu}_B(f(y)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_B(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B(x))$ such that $f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B(y)) \geq f^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_B(x))$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B(x\Delta y)) &= f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B(x + (x + y))) \\
 &\leq \{\tilde{\lambda}_B(f(x)) \vee \tilde{\lambda}_B(f(x + y))\} \\
 &= f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B(x)) \vee f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B(x + y))
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \geq y$. Since B is an Interval-valued L-fuzzy strong β -filter, we have

$$f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B(y)) = \tilde{\lambda}_B(f(y)) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_B(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B(x)) \text{ such that } f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B(y)) \leq f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B(x)).$$

Thus we can conclude that $f^{-1}(B)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic L-fuzzy β -filter on X .

References

- [1] Neggers, J. and Kim, H., 2002. "On algebras." *Math.Solvaca*, vol. 52, pp. 517-530.
- [2] Satyanarayana, B., Vijaya, K. M. V., Ramesh, D., and Durga, P. R., 2012. "Interval- valued intuitionistic fuzzy BF-subalgebras." *Acta Ciencia Indica, XXXVIII M.*, vol. 4, pp. 637-644.
- [3] Zadeh, L. A., 1965. "Fuzzy sets, inform.control." vol. 8, pp. 338-353.
- [4] Atanassov, K. T., 1986. "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 20, pp. 87-96.
- [5] Hoo, C. S., 1991. "Filters and ideals in BCI-algebras." *Math Japan*, vol. 36, pp. 987-997.
- [6] Zadeh, L. A., 1975. "The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning." *Information Sciences*, vol. 8, pp. 199-249.
- [7] Sujatha, K., Chandramouleeswaran, M., and Muralikrishna, P., 2015. "Fuzzy Filters on β -algebras." *International Journal of Mathematical Archieve*, vol. 6, pp. 162-167.
- [8] Sujatha, K., Chandramouleeswaran, M., and Muralikrishna, P., 2015. "Intuitionistic fuzzy filters on-algebras." *International Journal of Mathematical Science and Engineering Applications*, vol. 9, pp. 117-123.
- [9] Sujatha, K., Chandramouleeswaran, M., and Muralikrishna, P., 2015. " Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Strong β -Filters on β -Algebras." *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, vol. 8, 147-150.