Effects of Carbonation on the Properties of Concrete
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Abstract
Concrete is one of the reliable, durable, economical and acceptable construction materials among the building and construction stakeholders worldwide. Performance of concrete could be threatened especially reinforced concrete by some processes such as corrosion, sulfate attack among others. Corrosion of reinforcement in reinforced concrete can be induced by carbonation process. Even though carbonation initiates corrosion, it has been gathered that carbonation could still be of immense benefits to building and construction industries if its mechanism of operation is understood. This research work has therefore investigated the effect of carbonation on some selected mechanical properties of concrete such as compressive strength, flexural strength, water absorption and weight changes. Concrete cubes and beams of M15 grade with 0.5 % water-cement ratio were prepared and subjected to accelerated carbonation. Their compressive strength, flexural strength, water absorption and weight changes were determined in accordance with the relevant standards. The outcomes show that carbonation improves all the mechanical properties investigated. The use of carbonation can be positively explored in reinforced concrete provided there is adequate nominal cover.
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1. Introduction
Mankind has since many decades ago accepted and has been exploring the use of concrete as one the vital construction materials worldwide [1]. Its acceptability is based on its ability to be moulded into various shapes when in plastic stage and its strength as well as durability when in hardened state. Sustainability and reliablility have also been identified as advantages of concrete over other construction materials Hajek [2]; Qasim [3]; Qasim and Ahmed [4]. These coupled with low maintenance cost, adequate fire resistance and introduction of reinforcement to improve the tensile resistance [5]. All these and many more have made concrete famous among building and construction stakeholders. Concrete consists mainly of cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, and water. Sometimes admixtures could be added depending on the desired properties of the intended concrete [6]. Durability of concrete structures exposed to aggressive environments can be mitigated through various processes and this has become a major concern of structural engineers as well as the built and construction environment as a whole. One of these mitigating processes is corrosion of reinforced concrete [7, 8]. Corrosion causes failure of reinforced concrete structure before the designed service life is accomplished. It has also been reported that corrosion can be initiated by carbonation [9, 10]. In reinforced steel, carbonation can be defined as the process whereby carbon dioxide diffuses through the pores of the concrete and reacts with concrete hydration products such as calcium hydroxide and calcium silicate hydrates [11, 12]. Carbonation reduces the pH of concrete pore solution to a value less than 9 and steel passive oxide film may be destroyed which in turn, accelerates uniform corrosion [11, 12]. The treat from carbonation on the reinforced cement concrete (RCC) has led to corrosion of the reinforcing bars and has resulted into total collapse of residential buildings and commercial buildings [13, 14]. Despite the reported negative impact of carbonation on reinforced concrete, it has been recently discovered that carbonated concrete can also be of good benefit to construction industries in the area of compressive strength improvement [13]. Various researchers have studied the effects of carbonation on compressive strength, permeability, resistance to chloride ion, mechanism of carbonation and physical characteristic of carbonated mortar. Their discoveries show that good things can come out of carbonation process. Therefore, this research aims at bringing into limelight more positive effects of carbonation on concrete and reinforced concrete. Investigation of the effects of carbonation on some selected properties of
Concrete such as compressive strength, flexural strength, weight changes and water absorption of the concrete was carried out. Natural rate of carbonation can be very slow therefore, testing were done by accelerating the process using the atmosphere of relatively high CO₂ concentration.

1.1. Mechanism of Corrosion Induced by Carbonation

Literature review revealed that calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)₂ is responsible for the high pH in concrete. When Ca(OH)₂ reacts with carbon dioxide CO₂, the pH in the pore solution reduces. This reduction in the pH destroys the passive film around the steel bar and creates chance for corrosion to take place [14]. The corrosion induced by carbonation can increase the possibility of crack development and decrease the durability of concrete [15]. The pore solution of concrete has a pH of about 12 to 13 [16] but a full carbonated concrete has a pH value between 7-8.3 when complete consumption of calcium hydroxide has taken place [14]. The decrease in the value of pH that calls for an acidic environment. The destruction of the passive films begins when the pH value is lower than 11.5 [17].

The basic factors governing the process of carbonation are the quantity of pozzolanic material, the humidity conditions, and the duration of exposure [18]. Chi, et al. [19], observed that the process of carbonation reduces the surface porosity of the concrete because the resulting CaCO₃ occupies a greater volume than Ca(OH)₂. The reaction of carbon dioxide and calcium hydroxide only occurs in solution and so in very dry concrete where the relative humidity (RH) < 40% CO₂ cannot dissolve therefore carbonation will be slow. In saturated concrete where RH > 90% the moisture presents a barrier to the penetration of carbon dioxide and again carbonation will be slow. The most favorable condition for the carbonation reaction is when there is sufficient moisture (i.e when RH ranges between 40-90%) for the reaction but not enough to act as a barrier [20]. In most structures made with good quality concrete, carbonation will take several (or many) years to reach the level of the reinforcement. The process of carbonation are shown in the chemical equation (1).

\[ Ca(OH)_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow CaCO_3 + H_2O \] (1)

2. Materials and Method

The materials used for this research work are Portland lime cement (PLC), fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, potable water, Carbon dioxide gas (CO₂), Sodium chloride solution, Phenolphthalein indicator solution, 12 mm and 8 mm reinforcement, iron-mould of 150 × 150 × 150 mm³ and wooden formwork of 150 mm × 150 mm × 700 mm dimensions among others.

2.1. Mix Proportions and Materials Testing

The densities of the PLC, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, potable water and (CO₂) were determined and reported. shown in Table 1. Particle size distribution test was carried out on the fine aggregate in accordance with BS 1377-2 [21] and the result is as shown in Figure 1. 30 kg of CO₂, a colorless and odorless gas with a density of about 60 % higher than that of dry air was used.

![Table 1: Properties of materials used for the research](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine aggregate</td>
<td>1271</td>
<td>&lt; 4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse aggregate</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>10 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland Lime Cement (PLC)</td>
<td>1346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mix proportion during this experiment was M15 of mix ratio 1: 2: 4 (water: fine aggregate: coarse aggregate) by weight. The cement setting time and soundness tests were conducted according to BS EN 196-3 [22]. Particle size distribution test of the fine aggregate used in this research work was conducted in accordance with BS 1377-2 [21]. The slump test was conducted according to [23] on the fresh concrete used to produce the specimens.

2.2. Determination of Carbonation on Concrete Properties

Concrete cubes of size 150 × 150 × 150 mm and beams of size 150 × 150 × 700 mm were produced using the mix ratio of 1:2:4 for cement, sand and aggregates respectively. A total number of 50 cubes and 12 beams prepared. These concrete samples were demoulded after 24 hours of casting. They were then cured by immersion in water. After curing, the concrete cubes and beams were placed in the carbonation chamber. The carbonation chamber was connected to CO₂ gas cylinder through a pipe as indicated in Figure 1. Carbonation chamber was fabricated in such a way that it was air tight with a top cover that was removable for placing and taking out the concrete specimens. A perforated platform was fabricated at height of 0.06 m from bottom of the chamber to avoid direct contact between the concrete specimens and salt solution. A saturated laboratory reagent sodium chloride salt solution was kept below this perforated platform in the chamber to maintain the required relative humidity [11]. Control concrete cubes and beams were not put in the carbonated chamber but left in the open to serve as the control specimens. The properties of concrete were determined for carbonated and non-carbonated at 7 days, 21 days and 28 days. All the experiment was carried out in Elizade university workshop, Ilara-mokin Ondo state, Nigeria.
2.3. Compressive Strength Test

The compressive strength test was conducted according to BS EN 12390-3 [24]. The concrete cubes were brought out at 7-day, 21-day and 28-day curing periods and tested using compressive strength testing machine. Specimens were loaded to failure in a compression testing machine conforming to BS EN 12390-4 [25]. The maximum loads sustained by the specimens were recorded. The compressive strength of both the carbonated and non-carbonated concrete cubes were obtained and compared at 7-day, 21 days and 28 days.

\[ f_c = \frac{F}{A_c} \]  

(3.6)

Where
- \( f_c \) is the compressive strength, in megapascals (newtons per square millimeter);
- \( F \) is the maximum load at failure, in newtons;
- \( A_c \) is the cross-sectional area of the specimen on which the compressive force acts.

2.4. Weight Loss Test

The weights of carbonated and non-carbonated cubes were measured at 7-day, 21-day and 28-day curing periods. The weight differences were observed and recorded.

2.5. Determination of Depth of Carbonation

The depth of carbonation was measured immediately after performing the weight loss test using phenolphthalein solution. The phenolphthalein indicator solution was prepared by dissolving 0.5 g of phenolphthalein in 50% ethanol solution. The concrete cubes were splitted and the surface was sprayed with phenolphthalein indicator solution. The carbonated area remains colorless whereas non-carbonated area changes to purple-red. The depths of carbonation were measured for the various specimen using a vernier caliper.

2.6. Flexural Strength Test

Reinforcement cages for the 12 beams were made with 12 mm reinforcement and with 10 mm links at 300 mm spacing as indicated in Figure 2. The flexural strength test was conducted according to BS EN 12390-5 [26] to determine the resistance of the various concrete beam specimens to bending. The maximum loads sustained by the various specimens were determined and the flexural strengths were calculated.
The load was applied on the specimens after proper positioning as shown in Figure 3. A constant rate of stress 0.04 MPa/s (N/mm²s) was used. The load was applied without shock and increase continuously, at the constant rate of ±1 %, until failure. The rate of loading on the testing machine was given by Equation 2 while the flexural strengths were obtained using Equation 3.

\[ R = \frac{s \times d_1 \times d_2^2}{l} \]  
(2)

Where:
- \( R \) is the required loading rate, in newtons per second;
- \( s \) is the stress rate, in megapascals per second (newtons per square millimeter per second);
- \( d_1 \) and \( d_2^2 \) are the lateral dimensions of the specimen, in millimeters;
- \( l \) is the spacing of the lower rollers, in millimeters.

\[ f_{cf} = \frac{F \times l}{d_1 \times d_2^2} \]  
(3)

Where
- \( f_{cf} \) is the flexural strength, in megapascals (newtons per square millimeter);
- \( F \) is the maximum load, in newtons;
- \( l \) is the distance between the supporting rollers, in millimeters;
- \( d_1 \) and \( d_2^2 \) are the lateral dimensions of the specimen, in millimeters.

Figure 3. A concrete beam subjected to a point load during flexural test

2.7. Water Absorption Test

Water absorption test was conducted according to BS 1881-122 [27]. The concrete specimens were dried in the oven for 72 hours. They were weighed and immediately immersed completely in a water tank for 30 ± 0.5 min. The specimens were shaken to remove the bulk of the water and dried with a cloth as rapidly as possible until all free water was removed from the surface. The specimens were then reweighed afterwards and the percentage water absorption for each of the specimens was calculated using Equation 4.

\[ \text{Percent Water Absorption} = \left( \frac{\text{Wet weight} (W_2) - \text{Dry weight} (W_1)}{\text{Dry weight} W_1} \right) \times 100 \]  
(4)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results of Materials Properties

The properties of the research materials were obtained and presented. The Portland lime cement used has a consistency of 34 mm with 96 g of water, that is 32 % of 300 g of cement sample carried out according to BS EN 196-3 [22]. The initial setting time of the cement is 90 min and final setting time is 543 min. The cement satisfies the requirement in ASTM C191-13 [28] which states that the initial setting time must be greater than 1 hour and final setting should not be greater than 10 hours. The result of the particle size distribution for the fine aggregates is as shown in Figure 4.
Figure 4. Particle size distribution chart for the fine aggregates

Where

\[ D_{10} = 0.324 \, \text{mm} \]

From the particle size distribution in Figure 4, the effective grain size \( D_{10} = 0.324 \, \text{mm} \), \( D_{30} = 0.618 \, \text{mm} \) and \( D_{60} = 0.878 \, \text{mm} \) which corresponds to 10 percent finer particles, diameter of the particle at 60 percent finer on the grain size distribution curve and the diameter of the particle at 60 percent finer on the grain size distribution curve respectively. The coefficient of uniformity, \( C_u = 2.708 \) and coefficient of curvature, \( C_c = 1.343 \). Based on these results the sand can then be classified as well-graded sand of A-1-a group [29]. The slump of the fresh concrete was 31 mm and it can be classified as a true slump.

3.2. Compressive Strength

The results of the compressive strengths obtained for both carbonated and non-carbonated concrete samples are presented in Figure 5. The results show the compressive strengths of the carbonated concrete samples to be higher than that of the non-carbonated concrete samples throughout the period of the research. The compressive strength results follow the same trend obtained by the Hussain, et al. [30].

Figure 5. Compressive strength curve
3.3. Depth of Carbonation

The results obtained from carbonation test of the concrete samples are shown in Figure 4. The carbonation depth increased with increased in exposure to CO₂. Comparing the compressive strength that was obtained at 28 days accelerated carbonation period with the carbonation depth of 2.12mm obtained at same carbonated day. It can then be inferred that exposure of concrete to carbonation strengthens the compressive strength of such concrete provided the exposure depth is not more than 2.12mm and the nominal cover to such concrete is far greater than 2.12mm. Previous studies have found that, during the carbonation period, calcium carbonate is produced from the penetration of CO₂ in the concrete and since calcium carbonate occupies more space than the calcium hydroxide it is replacing, the resulting concrete will be denser [31].

![Figure-6. Carbonation depth against accelerated carbonation duration](image)

3.4. Flexural Strength

Samples of the flexural strength results obtained are shown in Figure 7 and 8 for non-carbonated concrete and carbonated concrete samples respectively. The flexural strength results against the curing/carbonation periods is also shown in Figure 9. There is also an improvement on the flexural strength of the carbonated samples at 28-day curing period. This is in accordance with the result obtained by some past researchers [32]. The formation of calcium carbonate has improved the flexural behavior of the carbonated concrete acting as a thick protective layer of decreased porosity on the concrete surface [31].

![Figure-7. Flexural strength for non-carbonated concrete sample 1](image)
3.5. Water Absorption

The change in water absorption rate over the carbonation period is presented in Figure 10. Carbonated concrete shows a decrease in water absorption rate with increase in time while the non-carbonated concrete maintain its water absorption rate even with increase in time. Hussain, et al. [30], reported in the output of their research work that porosity of concrete decreased with increase in duration in the carbonation chamber which also reduce water absorption rate.
3.6. Result of the Weight Change

The results of the changes in weight for the carbonated samples as well as non-carbonated samples are shown in Figure 11. The carbonated concrete specimens showed a slightly higher weight than the non-carbonated samples. As the curing/carbonated period increases, the deviation in the weight obtained for either samples decreases. This implies that curing or carbonation stabilizes the weight of concrete.

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

Within the scope of this research, the following concluding remarks can be made.

(i) Carbonation of concrete improves its compressive strength, therefore precast concrete can be carbonated prior to use. This will reduce the amount of cement needed to achieve the higher compressive strength which carbonation will offer. This will as well consume some quantity of CO$_2$ in our environment thereby reducing global warming and making the environment friendlier.

(ii) Carbonation depth should be adopted as one of the criteria for selecting nominal cover in reinforced concrete, this should be in conjunction with existing criteria. This is to ensure that carbonation does not reach the passive film which offers protection to the reinforcement or the reinforcement level. When
carbonation reach the passive film of concrete covering the reinforcement or the reinforcement level, corrosion can be initiated which can lead to failure of reinforced structures.

(iii) Carbonation improves other mechanical properties such as flexural strength, water absorption, and weight changes.
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